NEUTRON STARS

- 1934: Baade and Zwicky proposed that *supernovae* represented the transition of normal stars to neutron stars
- 1939: Oppenheimer and Volkoff published the first theoretical model
- 1967: discovery of pulsars by Bell and Hewish

Maximum mass of a neutron star:

- Neutrons are *fermions: degenerate neutrons* are unable to support a neutron star with a mass above a certain value (c.f. *Chandrasekhar mass* limit for white dwarfs).
- Important differences from white dwarf case:
 - (i) *interactions between neutrons* are very important at high densities
 - ii) very strong gravitational fields (i.e. use General Relativity)
 - N.B. There is a maximum mass but the calculation is very difficult; the result is very important for black-hole searches in our Galaxy
- Crude estimate– ignore interactions and General Relativity apply same theory as for white dwarfs: $M_{max}\simeq 6\,M_\odot$
- *interactions between neutrons* increase the theoretical maximum mass
 - \triangleright The interaction is attractive at distances $\sim 1.4\,{\rm fm}$ but repulsive at shorter distances
 - $\rightarrow~$ matter harder to compress at high densities

- \triangleright but, at high densities, degenerate neutrons are energetic enough to produce new particles (hyperons and pions) \rightarrow the pressure is reduced because the new particles only produce a small pressure
- *Effect of gravity* (gravitational binding energy of neutron star is comparable with rest mass):
- Gravity is strengthened at very high densities and pressures. Consider the pressure gradient:

Newton:
$${dP\over dr} = -{Gm
ho\over r^2}$$

$$\textit{Einstein:} \ \frac{dP}{dr} = -\frac{Gm\rho}{r^2} \times \frac{(1 + P/(\rho c^2))(1 + 4\pi r^3 P/(mc^2))}{1 - 2Gm/(rc^2)}$$

- Pressure P occurs on RHS. *Increase of pressure*, needed to oppose gravitational collapse, leads to *strengthening of gravitational field*
- \bullet need an equation of state $\mathbf{P}(\boldsymbol{\rho})$ that takes account of $\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{n}$ interactions
- Oppenheimer and Volkoff (1939) calculated M_{max} for a star composed of non-interacting neutrons. Result: $M_{max}=0.7\,M_\odot$
- Enhanced gravity leads to collapse at finite density when neutrons are just becoming relativistic – not ultrarelativistic
- Various calculations, using different compressibilities for neutron star matter, predict

 $M_{max} \in [1.5,3]\,M_\odot \qquad R_{NS} \simeq 7-15\,km$

• Observed neutron star masses (from analysis of binary systems) are mostly around $1.5\,M_\odot$

PULSARS

• Modern calculations suggest that M_{max} is probably less than $3M_{\odot}$ and definitely less than $5M_{\odot}$. See Phillips, "The Physics of Stars", for an example calculation: incompressible star of constant density



Expected properties of neutron stars:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{(a) Rotation period} & \mbox{(c.f. white dwarfs, e.g. 40 Eri B,} \\ P_{WD} = 1350 \, \mbox{s}) \\ \mbox{From simple theory} : & \box{$\frac{R_{WD}}{R_{NS}} \simeq \frac{m_n}{2^{5/3}m_e} \simeq 600} \\ & \mbox{$conservation of angular momentum: with} \\ & M_{WD} \sim M_{NS} \mbox{ and } I = (2/5)MR^2 \mbox{ (uniform sphere)} \end{array}$

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{I_i} & \omega_\mathrm{i} = \mathbf{I_f} \omega_\mathrm{f} \ & \ & \omega_\mathrm{f} = \omega_\mathrm{i} (\mathbf{R_i}/\mathbf{R_f})^2 \ & \ & \mathbf{P_{NS}} \simeq 3 imes 10^{-6} \, \mathbf{P_{WD}} \simeq 4 \, \mathrm{ms} \end{aligned}$$

- \rightarrow neutron stars *rotate rapidly* when they form
 - but angular momentum is probably lost in the supernova explosion
 - ▷ rotation is likely to slow down rapidly
- (b) magnetic field
 - \triangleright *Flux conservation* requires $\int B dS = constant$

$$\mathrm{B_i}\,4\pi\mathrm{R_i^2}=\mathrm{B_f}\,4\pi\mathrm{R_f^2}$$

 \triangleright Take largest observed white dwarf field, $B_{WD} \simeq 5 \times 10^4 \ T$

$${f B}_{NS}\simeq {f B}_{WD}({f R}_{WD}/{f R}_{NS})^2\simeq 10^{10}\,{f T}$$
 (upper limit)

Radio Pulsars: the P-B Diagram



- (c) Luminosity
 - \triangleright neutron star forms at $T\sim 10^{11}\,{\rm K}$ but T drops to $\sim 10^9\,{\rm K}$ within 1 day
 - ho main cooling process: neutrino emission (first $\sim 10^3\,{
 m yr}$), then radiation
 - $$\label{eq:theta} \begin{split} \triangleright \mbox{ after a few hundred years, } T_{internal} \sim 10^8 \, K, \\ T_{surface} \sim a \mbox{ few } \times 10^6 \, K. \end{split}$$
 - \triangleright star cools at constant R for $\sim 10^4\,yr$ with $T_{surface} \sim 10^6\,K$

 $L \sim 4\pi R^2 \sigma T_s^4 \sim 10^{26} \, W \, (mostly \, X - rays, \, \lambda_{max} \sim 3 \, nm)$

Discovery of neutron stars

- The *first pulsar* was discovered by Bell and Hewish at Cambridge in 1967
 - A radio interferometer (2048 dipole antennae) had been set up to study the scintillation which was observed when radio waves from distant point sources passed through the solar wind. Bell discovered a signal, regularly spaced radio pulses 1.337 sec apart, coming from the same point in the sky every night.
- Today, about 1500 pulsars are known
 - \triangleright Most have periods between 0.25 s and 2 s (average 0.8 s)
 - Extremely well defined pulse periods that challenge the best atomic clocks
 - ▷ Periods increase very gradually
 - $hinspace spin-down \ timescale \ {
 m for \ young \ pulsars} \ \sim {
 m P}/{
 m \dot{P}} \sim 10^6 10^7 \, {
 m yr}$

SUPERNOVA REMNANTS

The Crab Nebula (plerionic/filled)



Chandra (X-rays)

VLT



The Crab Pulsar

- The *Crab nebula* is the *remnant* of a *supernova* explosion observed optically in 1054 AD.
- The Crab pulsar is at the centre of the nebula, emitting X-ray, optical and radio pulses with P = 0.033 s.
- The Crab nebula is morphologically different from two other recent supernova remnants, Cas A and Tycho (both $\sim 400 \,\mathrm{yr}$ old) which are shell-like.
- The present *rate of expansion* of the nebula can be measured: uniform expansion extrapolates back to 90 years *after* the explosion, i.e. the expansion must be accelerating
- The observed *spectrum* is a *power law* from ~ 10^{14} Hz (IR) to ~ 1 MeV (hard X-rays); also, in the extended nebulosity, the *X-rays* are 10-20 % *polarised* \rightarrow signature of *synchrotron radiation* (relativistic electrons spiralling around magnetic field lines with $B \sim 10^{-7}$ T).
- Synchrotron radiation today requires (i) replenishment of magnetic field and (i) continuous injection of energetic electrons.
- Total power needed $\sim 5 imes 10^{31}\,{
 m W}$
- Energy source is a rotating neutron star $(M\simeq 1.4\,M_\odot,\ R\simeq 10\,km)$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{U} &= (1/2)\,\mathbf{I}\omega^2 = 2\pi^2\,\mathbf{I}/\mathbf{P}^2 \\ &\frac{d\mathbf{U}}{dt} = -\frac{4\pi^2\mathbf{I}\dot{\mathbf{P}}}{\mathbf{P}^3} \\ \mathbf{Taking}\,\,\mathbf{I} &= (2/5)\,\mathbf{MR}^2 \sim \mathbf{1}\times\mathbf{10}^{38}\,\mathbf{kg}\,\mathbf{m}^2;\,\,\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{0.033}\,\mathbf{s}; \end{split}$$

$$\dot{P} = 4.2 imes 10^{-13} \
ightarrow dU/dt \simeq 5 imes 10^{31} \, W$$

A simple pulsar model

- A pulsar can be modelled as a rapidly rotating neutron star with a strong dipole magnetic field inclined to the rotation axis at angle θ .
- Pulsar emission is *beamed* (like a lighthouse beam)
 → observer has to be in the beam to see *pulsed emission*.



• Further argument for magnetic dipole radiation:

 $egin{aligned} &-rac{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{rot}}}{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{t}} = -\mathrm{I}\omega rac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{t}} \propto \omega^4 \ &
ightarrow & rac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{t}} = -\mathrm{C}\omega^3. \ & ext{Integrate} \quad \mathrm{t} = rac{1}{2\mathrm{C}}\left(rac{1}{\omega^2} - rac{1}{\omega_0^2}
ight) \ & ext{So t} < rac{1}{2\mathrm{C}\omega^2} ext{ with } \mathrm{C} = 3.5 imes 10^{-16} \,\mathrm{s} ext{ and } \omega = 190 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$

 $\rightarrow t < 1250\,{\rm yr}$ (comparable to the known age $\simeq 950\,{\rm yr})$

N.B. The physics underlying pulsar emission mechanisms is very complicated and not well understood

Pulsar dispersion measure

- Consider an electromagnetic wave of the form $E = E_0 cos (kx \pm wt)$ propagating through an *ionised* medium where the number density of electrons is n_e .
- The dispersion relation is

 $\omega^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 \mathbf{c}^2 + \omega_{\mathbf{p}}^2, \ \ \omega_{\mathbf{p}} = (\mathbf{n_e} \mathbf{e}^2/(\mathbf{m} \epsilon_0))^{1/2} \ (\textbf{plasma frequency}).$

• If $\omega < \omega_{\rm p} \rightarrow no \ wave \ propagation \ (e.g. \sim few \ MHz \ cut$ $off to radio waves through the ionosphere). If <math>\omega > \omega_{\rm p}$, propagation occurs with group velocity $v_{\rm g}$ given by:

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{g}} = rac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{c} \left(\mathbf{1} + rac{\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{p}}^2}{\mathbf{c}^2\mathbf{k}^2}
ight)^{-1/2} = \mathbf{c} \left(\mathbf{1} + rac{\omega_{\mathrm{p}}^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_{\mathrm{p}}^2}
ight)^{-1/2}$$

- i.e. *frequency dependent:* longer wavelength has lower velocity.
- \bullet A pulse of radiation travels a distance l in time $t=l/v_{\rm g}.$
- Frequency dependence of arrival time is given by:

$$egin{aligned} rac{\Delta t}{\Delta \omega} &= -rac{1}{v_g^2}rac{\Delta v_g}{\Delta \omega} \simeq -rac{1}{c^2}rac{\Delta v_g}{\Delta \omega} ext{ since } v_g \simeq c ext{ for } \omega >> \omega_p. \ & ext{But} \quad rac{\Delta v_g}{\Delta \omega} &= rac{\omega \omega_p^2 c}{(\omega^2 - \omega_p^2)^2} \left(1 + rac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_p^2}
ight)^{-3/2} \simeq rac{\omega_p^2 c}{\omega^3}. \ & ext{ Therefore } \quad rac{\Delta
u}{\Delta t} &= rac{1}{2\pi}rac{\Delta \omega}{\Delta t} = -rac{4\pi^2 \mathrm{mc}}{\mathrm{e}^2}rac{\epsilon_0}{\mathrm{n_ell}}rac{
u^3}{\mathrm{n_ell}}. \end{aligned}$$

• $/ n_e dl$ is known as the DISPERSION MEASURE. It is a useful distance indicator if n_e is uniform (typical value for the Galactic plane: $1 - 3 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^{-3}$)



MILLISECOND (RECYCLED) PULSARS

- a group of ~ 100 radio pulsars with very short spin periods (shortest: 1.6 ms) and relatively weak magnetic fields ($B \leq 10^6 \text{ T}$)
- they are preferentially members of *binary systems*,
- they have *spin-down timescales* comparable or longer than the Hubble time (age of the Universe)
- standard model
 - b these pulsars are neutron stars in binary systems
 that spin-down first, lose their strong magnetic
 field (due to accretion?)

 \triangleright and are *spun-up* by *accretion* from a companion



- $$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{split} \triangleright \mbox{ magnetospheric accretion:} \\ \mbox{ magnetic field becomes} \\ \mbox{ dominant when magnetic} \\ \mbox{ pressure } > \mbox{ ram pressure in} \\ \mbox{ flow } \rightarrow \mbox{ flow follows magnetic} \\ \mbox{ field lines (below } \mbox{ r}_{A}) \end{split}$$
- > spin-up due to accretion of angular momentum
- $\label{eq:vector} \bullet \ equilibrium \ spin \ period: \ \mathbf{v_{rot}}(\mathbf{r_A}) = \mathbf{v_{Kepler}}(\mathbf{r_A}) \\ \rightarrow \ \mathbf{P_{eq}} = \simeq 2 \ ms \ \left(B/10^5 \ T \right)^{6/7} \ \left(\dot{M}/\dot{M}_{Edd} \right)^{-3/7}$
- \bullet a significant fraction of millisecond pulsars are single
 - \rightarrow pulsar radiation has evaporated the companion
 - ho example: *PSR 1957+20 (the black-widow pulsar):* companion mass: only $0.025\,{
 m M}_{\odot}$
 - b direct evidence for an *evaporative wind* from the radio eclipse (much larger than the secondary)
 - $ightarrow \ comet-like \ {
 m evaporative \ tail}$

SCHWARZSCHILD BLACK HOLES

- event horizon: (after Michell 1784)
 - \triangleright the *escape velocity* for a particle of mass m from an object of mass M and radius R is $v_{esc} = \sqrt{\frac{2GM}{R}}$ (11 km s^{-1} for Earth, 600 km s^{-1} for Sun)
 - \triangleright assume *photons* have *mass:* m \propto E (Newton's corpuscular theory of light)
 - \triangleright photons travel with the speed of light c
 - $\rightarrow~$ photons cannot escape, if $v_{esc} > c$

$$\rightarrow \boxed{R < R_{s} \equiv \frac{2GM}{c^{2}}} \ (Schwarzschild \ radius)$$

 $hi \mathbf{R}_{s} = 3 \, \mathbf{km} \left(\mathbf{M} / \, \mathbf{M}_{\odot}
ight)$

Note: for neutron stars $R_s\simeq 5\,km;$ only a factor of 2 smaller than $R_{NS}\rightarrow GR$ important

- *particle orbits* near a black hole
 - \triangleright the most tightly bound circular orbit has a radius $R_{min}=3\,R_s=(6GM)/c^2~(defines~inner~edge~of~accretion~disk)$
 - ▷ for a black hole *accreting* from a thin disk, the *efficiency* of energy generation is (usually) determined by the binding energy of the inner most stable orbit ($\sim 6\%$ for a Schwarzschild black hole)
- *no hair theorem:* the structure of a black hole is completely determined by its mass M, angular momentum L and electric charge Q

