



FACULTY OF SCIENCE

Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics



Recent Progress on our Understanding of He-Dominated Stellar Evolution

21.08.2015, N. Reindl



Introduction



VLTP evolution in the HRD.



Introduction



































- > First known O(He) stars: CSPNe **K1-27** and **LoTr4**, and **HS 1522+6615** and **HS 2209+8229**
- > Rauch et al. (1994, 1996, 1998): First non-LTE-analysis with HHe (+CNO) models based on optical spectra (resolution ≈ 3 Å)
- Reindl et al. (2014): Reanalysis with HHeCNONe (+FSiPSFe) models based on new optical spectra (resolution ≈ 1.5 Å) for K1-27 and LoTr4, FUSE, and HST/COS spectra (all stars, resolution ≈ 0.1 and 0.9 Å)
- > **K1-27**: Problem with He II λ 4686 Å and N V lines solved







- > First known O(He) stars: CSPNe **K1-27** and **LoTr4**, and **HS 1522+6615** and **HS 2209+8229**
- Rauch et al. (1994, 1996, 1998): First non-LTE-analysis with HHe (+CNO) models based on optical spectra (resolution ≈ 3 Å)
- Reindl et al. (2014): Reanalysis with HHeCNONe (+FSiPSFe) models based on new optical spectra (resolution ≈ 1.5 Å) for K1-27 and LoTr4, FUSE, and HST/COS spectra (all stars, resolution ≈ 0.1 and 0.9 Å)



K1-27: Problem with He II λ 4686 Å and N V lines solved





- > First known O(He) stars: CSPNe **K1-27** and **LoTr4**, and **HS 1522+6615** and **HS 2209+8229**
- Rauch et al. (1994, 1996, 1998): First non-LTE-analysis with HHe (+CNO) models based on optical spectra (resolution ≈ 3 Å)
- Reindl et al. (2014): Reanalysis with HHeCNONe (+FSiPSFe) models based on new optical spectra (resolution ≈ 1.5 Å) for K1-27 and LoTr4, FUSE, and HST/COS spectra (all stars, resolution ≈ 0.1 and 0.9 Å)



K1-27: Problem with He II λ 4686 Å and N V lines solved





- > First known O(He) stars: CSPNe **K1-27** and **LoTr4**, and **HS 1522+6615** and **HS 2209+8229**
- > Rauch et al. (1994, 1996, 1998): First non-LTE-analysis with HHe (+CNO) models based on optical spectra (resolution ≈ 3 Å)
- Reindl et al. (2014): Reanalysis with HHeCNONe (+FSiPSFe) models based on new optical spectra (resolution ≈ 1.5 Å) for K1-27 and LoTr4 FUSE, HST/COS spectra (all stars, resolution ≈ 0.1 and 0.9 Å



> **K1-27**: Problem with He II λ 4686 Å and N V lines solved

> For more than 15 years no other O(He)-star was discovered...





- > KPD0005+5106: first classified as a DO white dwarf
 - > Wassermann et al. (2010): $T_{\text{eff}} = 200 \text{ kK}$, log g = 6.7 \rightarrow pre-white dwarf
 - ▶ 98% He (by mass) \rightarrow O(He) star





- > KPD0005+5106: first classified as a DO white dwarf
 - > Wassermann et al. (2010): T_{eff} = 200 kK, log g = 6.7 \rightarrow pre-white dwarf
 - > 98% He (by mass) \rightarrow O(He) star
- Werner et al. (2014): Discovery of 4 new O(He) stars in the SDSS DR10: J0757, J1418, J1719, and J1728
 - NLTE analysis with HHe (+CNOSi) models based on SDSS spectra (resolution ≈ 2.5 Å)



O(He) stars

- > KPD0005+5106: first classified as a DO white dwarf
 - > Wassermann et al. (2010): T_{eff} = 200 kK, log g = 6.7 \rightarrow pre-white dwarf
 - > 98% He (by mass) \rightarrow O(He) star
- Werner et al. (2014): Discovery of 4 new O(He) stars in the SDSS DR10: J0757, J1418, J1719, and J1728
 - NLTE analysis with HHe (+CNOSi) models based on SDSS spectra (resolution ≈ 2.5 Å)
- De Marco et al. (2015): Discovery of the new O(He)-type central star Pa 5



 $H\alpha$ image of the newly discovered O(He)type CSPN Pa 5 (De Marco et al. 2015)





- > KPD0005+5106: first classified as a DO white dwarf
 - > Wassermann et al. (2010): T_{eff} = 200 kK, log g = 6.7 \rightarrow pre-white dwarf
 - > 98% He (by mass) \rightarrow O(He) star
- Werner et al. (2014): Discovery of 4 new O(He) stars in the SDSS DR10: J0757, J1418, J1719, and J1728
 - NLTE analysis with HHeCNO models based on SDSS spectra (resolution ≈ 2.5 Å)
- De Marco et al. (2015): Discovery of the new O(He) type central star Pa 5
 - > NLTE analysis with HHeCNONe models
 - > $T_{\rm eff} = 145$ kK, log g = 6.7



Observed He II λ 4686 Å line compared to synthetic spectra with different T_{eff} .





A trichotomy exists amongst He-dominated stars

(not only a dichotomy)

N-rich (N \approx 1%)

- N-rich O(He) stars
 K1-27, LoTr4, Pa 5, and
 HS 2209+8229
- N-rich He-sdO stars e.g., LSE 236, HE 1258+0113
- N-rich DO WDs
 e.g., PG 0038+199,
 PG 1034+001
- [WN]-type CSPNe IC 4463, Abell 48

C-rich (C ≈ 1%)

- C-rich O(He) stars
 HS 1522+6615, J1719, J1418, J0757
- C-rich He-sdO stars
 e.g., LSE 153,
 HE 1203-1024

C-rich DO WDs
 e.g., PG 0108+101,
 HS 0111+0012

C- and N-rich ($C \approx 1\%$, $N \approx 1\%$)

- C&N-rich O(He) stars
 KPD 0005+5106, J1728
- C&N-rich He-sdO stars e.g., LSE 256, HE 0111-1526
- > C&N-rich DO WD RE 0503-289
- RCB stars
- EHe stars





Locations of EHe stars, luminous He-sdO-stars, O(He) stars, PG 1159 stars and DO WDs in the log T_{eff} – log g plane compared with VLTP evolutionary tracks of Miller Bertolami & Althaus (2006).





He-dominated stars <u>cannot</u> be explained by (V)LTP scenarios

 \rightarrow predict very high C abundances (> 20% C, by mass)

He-dominated stars show < 3% C

Locations of EHe stars, luminous He-sdO-stars, O(He) stars, PG 1159 stars and DO WDs in the log $T_{\rm eff}$ – log g plane compared with VLTP evolutionary tracks of Miller Bertolami & Althaus (2006).





- Considering post-AGB and post-EHB evolution (late hot flasher scenarios) only: No possible connection between compact He-sdO stars and O(He) stars
- However, their surface abundances are extremely similar

By chance ?

Locations of EHe stars, luminous and compact He-sdO-stars, O(He) stars, PG 1159 stars and DO WDs in the log $T_{\rm eff}$ – log g plane compared with VLTP evolutionary tracks of Miller Bertolami & Althaus (2006) and a post-EHB trackt of Dorman et al. (1993).





WD merger (Zhang & Jeffery 2012a).

stars!) can also be reproduced in this way





Locations of RCB stars, EHe stars, He-sdO stars, and the two C&N rich O(He) stars compared with an evolutionary track of a 0.8 M He-WD+He-WD merger (Zhang & Jeffery 2012a).





Locations of RCB stars, EHe stars, He-sdO stars, and all O(He) stars compared with He-WD+He-WD merger evolutionary tracks (Zhang & Jeffery 2012a, b).





Locations of RCB stars, EHe stars, He-sdO stars, and all O(He) stars compared with He-WD+He-WD merger evolutionary tracks (Zhang & Jeffery 2012a, b).



Different evolutionary channel for (N-rich) O(He) type CSPNe?

Enhanced mass-loss removed the H-envelope of the O(He) stars (Rauch et al. 1998) → Artificially increased mass-loss rate is needed (numerical experiment of Miller Bertolami & Althaus 2006)





Are O(He) stars really the successors of [WN] type central stars?



Locations of O(He) stars and [WN] central stars in the log $T_{\rm eff}$ – log *g* plane compared with VLTP evolutionary tracks of Miller Bertolami & Althaus (2006).

Possible solution:

[WN] stars have **higher masses** than O(He) stars

→ According to Pauldrach et al. (1988): high mass-loss rate of IC 4663 and Abell 48 would correspond to M ≈ 0.7 M_☉ and M > 1.0 M_☉, respectively.



Different evolutionary channels for (N-rich) O(He) type CSPNe?

Enhanced mass-loss removed the H-envelope of the O(He) stars (Rauch et al. 1998) → Artificially increased mass-loss rate is needed (numerical experiment of Miller Bertolami & Althaus 2006)



Ejection of a common envelope in a previous evolutionary stage triggered enhanced mass-loss?





 \rightarrow The light curve of Pa 5 gives a consistent period of 1.12 d with an amplitude of 0.5mmag (De Marco et al. 2015)

Folded Kepler light curves (upper rows) and periodograms (lower rows) of Pa 5 (De Marco et al. 2015).



- However, no radial velocity variability detected larger than 5 km/s
- Planetary mass companion?
 - > Have been announced around post-giant stars (e.g., Silvotti et al. 2014)
 - > Doubtful that a planet can survive common envelope evolution
- Variability caused by a spot of constant size, temperature, and location on the surface of the star?
 - Relatively narrow spectral lines do not show evidence of a strong magnetic field and the effective temperature is much too high to expect a convective atmosphere which may help produce spots
- Most plausible hypothesis: Pa 5 has an evolved companion in a nearly pole-on orbit (i < 2.5°)



- Another candidate for a post-common envelope binary: J0757
- Discovered by Werner et al. (2014)
- > MUCHFUSS project:

 ΔRV_{max} = 107 ± 22 km/s

within only 31min!

- > First radial velocity variable O(He) star
- Have O(He) stars lost their H-rich envelope via common-envelope evolution?



Radial velocities of J0757 measured from six SDSS spectra.



- Another candidate for a post-common envelope binary: J0757
- Discovered by Werner et al. (2014)
- > MUCHFUSS project:

 $\Delta RV_{max} = 107 \pm 22 \text{ km/s}$

within only 31min!

- > First radial velocity variable O(He) star
- Have O(He) stars lost their H-rich envelope via common-envelope evolution?
- Currently ≈ 50 H-rich central stars, one PG 1159 star and one [WC] type CSPN known which have short orbital periods
- What was the difference in their evolution?



Radial velocities of J0757 measured from six SDSS spectra.



- > Number of known O(He) stars has doubled since last year
- > First hints for close binary systems found in two of the 10 known O(He) stars
- > Trichotomy exists amongst He-dominated stars: C-rich / N-rich / C&N-rich
- Evolutionary status still unclear, but most likely various formation scenarios produce He-dominated stars
 - Late hot flasher scenario can only be valid only for He-sdO and low mass He-WDs
 - Stars enriched in C-rich or N-rich without PN: He-WD+He-WD merger, close binary evolution?
 - For stars enriched in C&N: high mass He-WD+He-WD or He-WD+CO-WD merger
 - (N-rich) CSPNe: Enhanced mass-loss, possible triggered by a close companion





Elemental abundances of the O(He) stars, given in logarithmic mass fractions relatively to the solar value.



C-rich O(He) stars: HS1522+6615, J1719, J1418, J0757



Elemental abundances of the O(He) stars, given in logarithmic mass fractions relatively to the solar value.



C&N-rich O(He) stars: KPD 0005 and J1728



Elemental abundances of the O(He) stars, given in logarithmic mass fractions relatively to the solar value.