Absorption Lines in the ISM

Absorption lines occur most often when cool gas lies between the observer and a hot source (typically a star or QSO), but can also result from a temperature gradient in an optically-thick medium.

The atoms (or ions) will generally be in a low-lying level such that absorption occurs from the ground state or levels close to the ground state. For hydrogen, almost all atoms are in n=1, so we only see Lyman series in absorption in interstellar matter. This may not be the case in optically thick, dense medium in stellar atmospheres. A good reference is: B Savage and K Sembach *Ann. Rev. Astr. Astrophys. 1996.* 34:279–329 http://arjournals.annualreviews.org/doi/pdf/10.1146/annurev.astro.34.1.279

The equation of radiative transfer:

$$\frac{dI_{\nu}}{ds} = -\kappa_{\nu}I_{\nu} + \epsilon_{\nu}$$

where I_ν is the specific intensity, k_ν is the net absorption and ϵ_ν the volume emission coefficient

Line Absorption

The depth of the absorption is related to the column density of absorbing material (atoms in the lower level that produces the transition) and the absorption cross section. The line absorption coefficient *k* is given by:

$$k_{lu} = \int k_{\nu} d\nu = n_l \sigma_{lu}$$

Where the integral is over the line profile, and where the atomic absorption cross section $\sigma_{lu} = k_v/n_l$

The line absorption coefficient k has two components – the rate of absorption and the rate of stimulated emission $k_{lu} = \frac{hv_{ul}}{c}(n_l B_{lu} - n_u B_{ul})$

$$k_{lu} = \frac{hv_{ul}}{c} (n_l B_{lu} - n_u B_{ul})$$

The Einstein B coefficients are related by

$$B_{ul} = \frac{g_l}{g_u} B_{lu}$$

And the A and B coefficients by:

$$B_{ul} = \frac{c^3}{8\pi h v_{ul}^3} A_{ul} \quad and \quad A_{ul} = \frac{8\pi^2 e^2 v^2}{m_e c^3} f_{ul}$$

where f_{ul} is the emission oscillator strength which is related to the absorption oscillator strength by the statistical weights: $g_{u}f_{ul} = g_{l}f_{lu}$

$$\sigma_{lu} = \int_{line} \frac{k_{v}}{n_{l}} dv = \frac{hv_{ul}}{c} (B_{lu} - \frac{n_{u}}{n_{l}} B_{ul}) = \frac{hv_{ul}}{c} B_{lu} \left(1 - \frac{n_{u}g_{l}}{n_{l}g_{u}}\right)$$

$$\sigma_{lu} = \int_{line} \frac{k_v}{n_l} dv = \frac{hv_{ul}}{c} (B_{lu} - \frac{n_u}{n_l} B_{ul}) = \frac{hv_{ul}}{c} B_{lu} \left(1 - \frac{n_u g_l}{n_l g_u}\right)$$

A thermal population is given by:
$$\frac{n_u^*}{n_l^*} = \frac{g_u}{g_l} e^{-\Delta E_{ul}/kT_e}$$

The departure coefficients are $n_1 = b_1 n_1^*$ where n_1^* is the population given by a Boltzmann distribution so that the absorption cross section:

$$\sigma_{lu} = \sigma_{abs} \left(1 - \frac{b_u}{b_l} e^{-hv_{ul}/kT_e} \right)$$

defined in terms of an integrated atomic absorption cross section σ_{abs}

$$\sigma_{abs} = \frac{hv_{ul}}{c} B_{lu} = \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c} f_{lu}$$

Absorption lines with $hv >> kT_e$

$$\sigma_{lu} = \sigma_{abs} \left(1 - \frac{b_u}{b_l} e^{-hv_{ul}/kT_e} \right)$$

Absorption lines in the optical and UV have $hv >> kT_{e.}$ The exponential term become small, so that stimulated emission becomes negligible and we can treat this as pure absorption.

In the ISM almost all species are in the ground state, so we can say that σ_{lu} = σ_{abs}

Oscillator strengths are listed e.g. in Astrophysical Quantities (by C W Allen) or in the NIST databse.

Absorption Lines with $hv \ll kT_e$

At long wavelengths, where $hv \ll kT_e$, the exponential term tends to unity, and we can expand the exponential term to give



In LTE, $b_u = b_l = 1$ so this becomes:



i.e. the pure absorption cross section is reduced by a factor $h\nu/kT_{\rm e}$ by stimulated emission

Non-LTE Absorption Lines with hv << kT_e

$$\sigma_{lu} = \sigma_{abs} \left(1 - \frac{b_u}{b_l} \left(1 - \frac{hv_{ul}}{kT_e} \right) \right)$$

In extreme non- LTE, the population in the upper level can exceed that in the lower level, in which case b_u/b_l can become >1 and > (1- hv/kT_e) leading to the expression becoming negative and the emissive component exceeding the absorption.

This occurs in masers, which are found in the microwave and radio regions, mostly in diatomic molecules such as OH and SiO but also in methanol and HCN. The population inversions can lead to enormous brightness temperatures.



UV and Optical absorption lines

In the Interstellar Medium, background stars reveal narrow but resolved absorption from neutral atoms or singly ionized species (with low I.P.)

A list from ~ 10 years ago measured in the UV with the Goddard High Resolution Spectrograph on the HST is given here, but note that many more species have been measured with other instruments, and at other wavelengths.

The measurements are used to investigate the abundances, kinematics and physical conditions in the ISM. Note that geocoronal Lyman alpha makes it difficult to measure Ly α at its rest wavelength, but observations of redshifted Ly α are extremely important for understanding the intergalactic medium at high redshift

$Atoms^{a} (1150 < \lambda < 3200 \text{ Å})$	$Z^{\mathfrak{b}}$	IP(eV) ^c (I to II)	IP(eV) ^c (II to III)	$\log(X/H)_{\rm m} + 12^{\rm d}$
НІ	1	13.60		12.00
DI	i	13.60		
BIL	5	8.30	25.15	2.88 ± 0.04
<u>C I.</u> C I*. C I**. C II. C II*. C IV	6	11.26	24.38	8.55±0.05
N I, N V	7	14.53	29.60	7.97±0.07
OLOI*	8	13.62	35.12	8.87±0.07
Mg I, Mg II	12	7.65	15.04	7.58±0.02
ALII. ALIII	13	5.99	18.83	6.48±0.02
Si I, Si II, Si II*, Si III, Si IV	14	8.15	16.35	7.55±0.02
PI, PII, PIII	15	10.49	19.73	5.57±0.04
S I, S II, S III	16	10.36	23.33	7.27±0.05
CLI	17	12.97	23.81	5.27±0.06
Cr II	24	6.77	16.50	5.68±0.03
Mn II	25	7.44	15.64	5.53±0.04
Fe II	26	7.87	16.18	7.51±0.01
Coll	27	7.86	17.06	4.91±0.03
Ni II	28	7.64	18.17	6.25±0.02
Cu II	29	7.73	20.29	4.27±0.05
Zn 11	30	9.39	17.96	4.65±0.02
Ga II	31	6.00	20.51	3.13±0.03
Ge II	32	7.90	15.93	3.63±0.04
As II	33	9.81	18.63	2.37±0.05
Se II	34	9.75	21.19	3.35±0.03
Kr I	36	14.00	24.36	3.23±0.07
Sn II	50	7.34	14.63	2.14±0.04
TLII	81	6.11	20.43	0.82±0.04
Pb II	82	7.42	15.03	2.05±0.03



Column Density from optically thin lines The optical depth depends on the oscillator strength and the column of atoms so that $\frac{\lambda}{\upsilon}\tau = \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c^2} f \lambda^2 N_l = EW$ or for the optically thin case (weak absorption) with $\tau << 1$ $N(cm^{-2}) = 1.13 \times 10^{17} \frac{W_{\lambda}(m\overset{o}{A})}{f\lambda^2(\overset{o}{A})}$

If we have two optically thin lines originating from a split lower level, we can use the $W = f \lambda^2 q$

Boltzmann formula to estimate excitation temperature from:

$$\frac{W_{\lambda 2}}{W_{\lambda 1}} = \frac{f_2 \lambda_2^2}{f_1 \lambda_1^2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} e^{-(\Delta E/kT)}$$

Neu	itra	al	Carbon	60	¹ P ³ P 2p3s
Configuration	Term	J	Level (cm ⁻¹)	E	/
2s ² 2p ²	³ Р	0 1 2	0 16.40 43.40		
2s ² 2p ²	1D	2	10 192.63		
2s ² 2p ²	¹ S	0	21 648.01	0 - ^{2p°} 9850 32 / 3p	
20203	500	2	22 725 24		
2320	°S°	2	33 735.20	C I has a split ground state and 1 st	excited state
2s ² 2p(² P°)3s	³ P°	0	60 333.43	C I has a split ground state and 1 st at ~60350 cm ⁻¹ giving transitions a	excited state at ~1657 Å.
2 <i>s</i> ² 2 <i>p</i> (² P°)3 <i>s</i>	³ P°	0	60 333.43 60 352.63	C I has a split ground state and 1^{st} at ~60350 cm ⁻¹ giving transitions a λ (excited state at ~1657 Å.
2 <i>s</i> ² 2 <i>p</i> (² P°)3 <i>s</i> 2 <i>s</i> ² 2 <i>p</i> (² P°)3 <i>s</i>	³ P°	0 1 2 1	53 735.20 60 333.43 60 352.63 60 393.14 61 981.82	C I has a split ground state and 1 st at ~60350 cm ⁻¹ giving transitions λ (λ 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₀ – 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₁ 1657 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₁ – 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₀ 1656	excited state at ~1657 Å. Å) <i>f</i> .91 0.048 .93 0.143
2s ² 2p(² P°)3s 2s ² 2p(² P°)3s 2s ² 2p(² P°)3s 2s2p ³	³ P° ¹ P° ³ D°	2 0 1 2 1 3	60 333.43 60 352.63 60 393.14 61 981.82 64 086.92	C I has a split ground state and 1 st at ~60350 cm ⁻¹ giving transitions λ (λ 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₀ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₁ 1657 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₁ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₀ 1656 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₁ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₁ 1657	excited state at ~1657 Å. Å) <i>f</i> .91 0.048 .93 0.143 .38 0.036
2s ² 2p(² P°)3s 2s ² 2p(² P°)3s 2s ² 2p(² P°)3s 2s2p ³	³ P° ¹ P° ³ D°	2 0 1 2 1 3 1	60 333.43 60 352.63 60 393.14 61 981.82 64 086.92 64 089.85	C I has a split ground state and 1 st at ~60350 cm ⁻¹ giving transitions λ (λ 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₀ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₁ 1657 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₁ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₀ 1656 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₁ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₁ 1657 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₁ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₁ 1657	excited state at ~1657 Å. \dot{A}) f .91 0.048 .93 0.143 .38 0.036 12 0.036
2 <i>s</i> ² 2 <i>p</i> (² P°)3 <i>s</i> 2 <i>s</i> ² 2 <i>p</i> (² P°)3 <i>s</i> 2 <i>s</i> ² 2 <i>p</i> (² P°)3 <i>s</i> 2 <i>s</i> 2 <i>p</i> ³	³ P° ¹ P° ³ D°	0 1 2 1 3 1 2	60 333.43 60 352.63 60 393.14 61 981.82 64 086.92 64 089.85 64 090.95	C I has a split ground state and 1 st at ~60350 cm ⁻¹ giving transitions a λ (<i>i</i> 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₀ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₁ 1657 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₁ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₀ 1656 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₁ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₁ 1657 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₁ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₂ 1658 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₁ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₂ 1658	excited state at ~1657 Å. \dot{A}) f .91 0.048 .93 0.143 .38 0.036 .12 0.036 .27 0.059
2 <i>s</i> ² 2 <i>p</i> (² P°)3 <i>s</i> 2 <i>s</i> ² 2 <i>p</i> (² P°)3 <i>s</i> 2 <i>s</i> ² 2 <i>p</i> (² P°)3 <i>s</i> 2 <i>s</i> 2 <i>p</i> ³	³ P° ¹ P° ³ D°	2 0 1 2 1 3 1 2	60 333.43 60 352.63 60 393.14 61 981.82 64 086.92 64 089.85 64 090.95	C I has a split ground state and 1 st at ~60350 cm ⁻¹ giving transitions a λ (<i>i</i> 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₀ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₁ 1657 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₁ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₀ 1656 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₁ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₁ 1657 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₁ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₁ 1658 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₁ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₂ 1658 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₂ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₁ 1656 2s ² 2p(² P)3s ³ P ₂ - 2s ² 2p ² ³ P ₁ 1656	excited state at ~1657 Å. h = 100000000000000000000000000000000000



Table 1. Excitation rates $K_{JJ'}$ of the C⁰, C⁺ and O⁰ fine-structure levels by the CMBR. We have assumed the temperature–redshift relation as predicted by the standard model (see text).

	C	0	C^+	O^0
z	$K_{01} (s^{-1})$	$K_{02} (s^{-1})$	$K_{\frac{13}{22}}$ (s ⁻¹)	$K_{21} (s^{-1})$
0	4.2×10^{-11}	1.2×10^{-23}	1.4×10^{-20}	3.0×10^{-41}
1	3.2×10^{-9}	1.1×10^{-18}	2.5×10^{-13}	4.0×10^{-23}
2	1.4×10^{-8}	5.0×10^{-17}	6.6×10^{-11}	4.4×10^{-17}
3	3.1×10^{-8}	3.4×10^{-16}	1.1×10^{-9}	4.6×10^{-14}
4	5.1×10^{-8}	1.1×10^{-15}	5.7×10^{-9}	3.0×10^{-12}
5	7.4×10^{-8}	2.3×10^{-15}	1.7×10^{-8}	4.8×10^{-11}

Model calculations (Silva & Viegas 2002) for the changing excitation rates with $T_{CMB} = T_0(1+z)$ [where $K_{ij} = B_{ij} u_{ij}$] The values for PKS 1232 are consistent with increased T_{CMB} From these measurements, the excitation temperature can be estimated from the EW :

$$\frac{W_{\lambda 2}}{W_{\lambda 1}} = \frac{f_2 \lambda_2^2}{f_1 \lambda_1^2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} e^{-(\Delta E/kT)}$$

The excitation can arise from e^- or atomic collisions or photons. CI arises from neutral regions so H atom collisions may dominate over electron collisions.

The ground state splitting of 16.4 and 27 cm⁻¹ produces IR lines at 609 and 374 μ m, which can be used as a thermometer for the radiation temperature. (They were major targets for the Herschel satellite)

Cosmological models indicate that $T_{CMB} = T_0(1+z)$, and so at z~2.3, we expect T to increase from 2.73 K now to 9 K then, giving a marked increase in the population in the ${}^{3}P_{1} / {}^{3}P_{0}$ ratio.



Historical Digression:

In 1941, Andrew McKellar found that from measurements of the CN molecule the 'rotational temperature' of interstellar space is about ~2 K, later refining this to 2.3 K.

This predated the discovery of the CMB by >20 years, but the significance was not realised at the time.















κ Vel K I

12

Depletions • High resolution spectroscopy from the ground and satellites provides columns of different elements and ions in the gas phase: - 'missing' species compared to adopted cosmic abundance are presumed to have condensed into dust where the narrow atomic transitions are suppressed. - Degree of depletion correlates with condensation temperature - Places severe constraints on the composition of interstellar dust - Dominated by O, C, Si, Mg, Fe, Ca







The Hydrogen – Deuterium isotopic shift is 82km/s. The H lines are heavily saturated with pronounced damping wings. Deuterium becomes optically thin by Ly 11 (918Å), with a line width ~10km/s, but we have to rely on the curve of growth to model H column and estimate D/H.

Deuterium Abundance Oscillator strengths for D are the same as H, so columns are given directly by the line depths. The average value of D/H towards 6 high-z QSOs (with IGM metallicity ~1/30 solar) is 2.5.10⁻⁵, compared to the value in the local Galactic ISM of 1.56.10⁻⁵. But, variations both within the Galaxy and amongst IGM sightlines are greater than errors indicate suggesting real scatter in D/H. Variations with redshift may be due to Astration, but isotopic fractionation may also be important. D/H of 2.5.10⁻⁵ gives Ω_B fraction = 0.044, consistent with WMAP Big Bang models







Normalised spectra of high-z QSOs from Bolton et al 2012, plotted against velocity centred on rest- frame Lya Red lines are the estimated intrisic flux levels continuum and Lya emission lines.

These spectra are used to investigate the physical conditions in the IGM around the QSOs.



